RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

S&P Global

Ratings

Credit Mutuel Ratings Affirmed, Senior Nonpreferred/Hybrid Debt Upgraded On Strengthened Balance Sheet; Outlook Stable

October 16, 2019

Overview

- Credit Mutuel Group (CMG) continues to strengthen its already-solid balance sheet, and we now see its capital position as a rating strength.
- Thanks to predictable earnings, supported by the contribution of insurance activities, a low risk profile, and low dividend payments, we expect its capitalization to increase further and be able to withstand likely less benign operating conditions in the years to come.
- CMG started to issue senior nonpreferred notes, but we do not expect its bail-in-able buffer to be large enough to provide additional loss absorbing capacity (ALAC) over the next two years to protect senior creditors.
- Therefore, we are raising the group stand-alone credit profile (SACP) to 'a' from 'a-', and subsequently upgrading the hybrids and senior nonpreferred notes by one notch. In addition, we are affirming the long- and short-term ratings on CMG's rated entities.
- The outlook is stable because we assume that the group will maintain a solid balance sheet and controlled risk appetite as it continues to expand its business, but also that it will report lower diversification and average profitability compared with higher-rated peers.

Rating Action

On Oct. 16, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A/A-1' long-and short-term issuer credit ratings on French bank Credit Mutuel Group (CMG) and its core entities. We also affirmed our resolution counterparty credit rating (RCR). The outlook is stable

In addition, we revised the group SACP to 'a' from 'a-' and consequently raised by one notch all of our long-term ratings on the bank's hybrid capital instruments and senior nonpreferred notes.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Nicolas Malaterre Paris (33) 1-4420-7324 nicolas.malaterre @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Sylvie Dalmaz, PhD Paris (33) 1-4420-6682 sylvie.dalmaz @spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACT

Financial Institutions Ratings Europe FIG_Europe @spglobal.com

Rationale

Today's action reflects the gradual reinforcement of CMG's balance sheet and solvency over the past years, which we expect to continue, despite a less benign environment ahead. The group will face profitability pressures in its retail and insurance businesses due to the ultra-low-interest-rate environment. But we believe that the strength of its franchises in these two segments, its predictable revenue streams and low payout ratio, resulting from its cooperative

structure, allow the group to continue to build capital internally, while continuing to expand in its core business.

We expect CMG will maintain a risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio of or marginally above 10% in the next two years and higher levels thereafter, up from 9.7% in 2018. In our view, the pace of improvement could be slower than in previous years, due to margin pressure only partially offset by dynamic loan growth, but the upward trajectory is unlikely to change. CMG's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio of 17.5% at year-end 2018 was among the strongest ratios for large European banks, with the exception of most Nordic banks.

Our capital projections capture on the following assumptions:

- An average annual increase in adjusted risk-weighted assets (RWAs) of 5.5% over 2019-2020, as credit demand remains high in the context of low interest rates and the group continues to organically expand, mainly in retail banking activities in low-risk European countries.
- Annual profits of €3.3 billion-€3.5 billion in 2019 and 2020, below the €3.5 billion achieved in 2018, a stable efficiency ratio of about 65%, and a low cost of risk.
- A low dividend payout ratio below 10%.
- A stable solvency position at the insurance businesses despite pressure from the interest-rate environment.
- No significant acquisitions.

The upward revision of the group SACP to 'a' from 'a-' also recognizes the low risk profile and stability of operating performance through the cycle. CMG is not among the strongest performers on profitability and efficiencies in a European context and does not have the geographic diversification we typically see at banks with an 'a' group SACP. This is partly due to its cooperative roots and the high share of low-risk/low-margin housing loans in the book. The group's dense French branch network weighs on its cost base, while its cost efficiencies lag other retail banking peers in several markets, for example the Nordics or the Benelux region, but are among the best of its domestic peers. In addition, its returns over the past decade, including during the 2008 financial crisis, have been stable, with a return on equity (RoE) of 6.0%-6.5%. We expect the RoE to decline marginally below 6% in 2019-2020, due to inevitable margin pressure and limited cost reduction. However, we note that lower returns pose less strategic and business model challenges to cooperative groups than for listed groups, as they typically enjoy lower cost of capital due to their capacity to issue affordable cooperative shares.

Credit Mutuel Alliance Fédérale, CMG's largest subgroup, issued its first senior nonpreferred notes earlier this year. However, we do not believe the bank will issue enough bail-in-able debt to benefit from a notch of additional loss absorbing capacity (ALAC) over the outlook horizon. The group is not subject to total loss absorbing capital rules and already has a very high level of common equity in its capital structure, so the incentive to issue senior nonpreferred debt or other subordinated instruments to meet regulatory requirements is less than for other large French banking groups. This is why the upgrade of the group SACP does not result in a parallel upgrade of

the long-term rating.

Our ratings on CMG remain supported by the group's leading position and robust franchise in the French retail market and the material contribution of its insurance activities. The group has the third-largest retail banking network in France, and has built a valuable franchise in consumer finance via its subsidiary Targobank in recent years, which also provides some geographic diversity, notably in Germany. We continue to see Credit Mutuel Arkea's (CMA) exit from the group as a long and complex move from an operational perspective, although not impossible. Our central scenario remains the status quo, where CMA will continue to operate within the group alongside other members. We note that these intragroup tensions have not so far altered the group's financial performance or strategy.

CMG benefits from the low-risk nature of its core French retail banking activities and a good track record of expansion into the riskier consumer finance segment. That said, it also demonstrates lower diversity in its loan portfolio than peers. Domestic residential mortgages represent about half of CMG's total customer loans, but are naturally granular, and generated much lower losses throughout the credit cycle. We expect nonperforming loans to remain below 3% by 2020, the coverage of bad loans by reserves to remain at 65%-70%, and the cost of risk at about 25 basis points (bps), which are good metrics in our view.

Our central scenario factors in that the bank will maintain its funding and liquidity position.

Furthermore, our analysis of CMG is based on the consolidated accounts of the group, including CMA.

Outlook

The stable outlook balances our expectation that the group will maintain its solid balance sheet, asset quality and business development in retail banking and insurance against persisting pressures on profitability in a low-interest-rate environment, as for other European peers. This is less of a credit issue for cooperative groups than for listed ones, due to their generally low payout ratios and lower cost of capital. In our outlook we also assume that the existing challenges around group cohesion will not represent a structural issue, but rather an area of relative uncertainty, as has been the case so far.

Downside scenario

We could lower our ratings in the next 24 months if:

- We observed the group engaging in more rapid growth of risk assets than we anticipate, organically or via acquisitions, leading to a lower RAC ratio compared with our expectations.
- The group did not cope with the challenge of adjusting its retail banking and insurance business strategy to the evolving interest-rate environment, leading to rapidly declining margins or weaker efficiency.
- Intragroup tensions were to heighten once again, challenging the group's function, damaging its reputation, and weakening its operating performance.

Upside scenario

At this stage, we believe an upgrade is remote, because our base scenario assumes that the group

will not issue sufficient bail-in-able instruments to benefit from one notch of uplift for ALAC in the next two years. Even if this were to happen in the next two years, we consider the group's lower geographic and business diversity than 'A+' rated peers and the lingering uncertainties surrounding CMA's future within the group as limiting potential rating upside.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Issuer Credit Rating: A/Stable/A-1

SACP: a

- Anchor: bbb+
- Business Position: Strong (+1)
- Capital and Earnings: Strong (+1)
- Risk Position: Adequate(0)
- Funding and Liquidity: Average and Adequate (0)

Support: 0

- ALAC Support: 0
- GRE Support: 0
- Group Support: 0
- Sovereign Support: 0

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Methodology For Assigning Financial Institution Resolution Counterparty Ratings, April 19, 2018
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria, Oct. 21, 2016
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Bank Rating Methodology And Assumptions: Additional Loss-Absorbing Capacity, April 27, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles For Rating Debt Issues Based On Imputed Promises, Dec. 19, 2014
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011

- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Commercial Paper I: Banks, March 23, 2004

Ratings List

e Maine-Anjou Basse		
cean		
Caisse Federale du Credit Mutuel Nord Europe		
ntilles-Guyane		
əl		
A/Stable/A-1		
A+//A-1		
e Maine-Anjou Basse		
cean		
ord Europe		
ntilles-Guyane		
əl		
А		
A/A-1		
А		
Banque Federative du Credit Mutuel		
А		
A-1		

Research Update: Credit Mutuel Ratings Affirmed, Senior Nonpreferred/Hybrid Debt Upgraded On Strengthened Balance Sheet; Outlook Stable

Caisse Centrale du Credit Mut	uel	
Caisse Federale du Credit Mut	uel Antilles-Guy	ane
Caisse Federale du Credit Mut	uel Nord Europe	
Caisse Federale du Credit Mut	uel Ocean	
Caisse Federale du Credit Mut Normandie	uel de Maine-An	jou Basse
Credit Industriel et Commerci	al	
Credit Industriel et Commerci	al, New York Bra	nch
Commercial Paper	A-1	
Upgraded		
	То	From
Banque Federative du Credit N	Autuel	
Senior Subordinated	A-	BBB+
Subordinated	BBB+	BBB
Junior Subordinated	BBB-	BB+
Caisse Federale du Credit Mut	uel Nord Europe	
Subordinated	BBB+	BBB
Junior Subordinated	BBB-	BB+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.